

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FP00009	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2006/304649	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 09 March 2006 (09.03.2006)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA KYOTOSANGYO			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.  
  
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 18 September 2007 (18.09.2007)  Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Yoshiko Kuwahara</p> e-mail: pt07.pct@wipo.int
---	---

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

## PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:		<p><b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b></p> <p>See paragraph 2 below</p>	
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>FP00009</b>		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
International application No. <b>PCT/JP2006/304649</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>09.03.2006</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>15.03.2005</b>	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
Applicant <b>KABUSHIKI KAISHA KYOTOSANGYO</b>			

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- |                                     |              |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the opinion   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application  |

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2006/304649

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ the translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/JP2006/304649

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1 - 9</u>	YES
	Claims	_____	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	<u>1 - 9</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1 - 9</u>	YES
	Claims	_____	NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Document 1: JP, 4-164494, A (Yoshihiko Sato), 10 June, 1992 (10.06.92), full text, all drawings  
 Document 2: JP, 9-276582, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 28 October, 1997 (28.10.97), full text, all drawings  
 Document 3: JP, 9-248395, A (Sharp Corp.), 22 September, 1997 (22.09.97), full text, all drawings  
 Document 4: JP, 6-238086, A (Hiroshi Morishima), 30 August, 1994 (30.08.94), full text, all drawings

The subject matters of claims 1-8 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily adopted the technical concept described in document 2 or 3 that objects to be washed are prevented from having contact with the inner surfaces of a washing machine so that they cannot be damaged during the washing process in document 1 to arrive at the subject matters of claims 1-8.

The subject matter of claim 9 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3, and document 4 cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily adopted the technical concept described in document 4 that a washing liquid is pressurized or depressurized by means of a pressurizer/depressurizer for the washing process in document 1.